

SYSTEM UTILIZATION MONTHLY REPORT

for the month ending

May 2022

<http://www.tccustomerexpress.com/2885.html>

Published date:

July 15th, 2022

Highlights This Month:

- SMHI Segment removed from Firm Transportation Service Contract Utilization table (Page 3) as the segment has been disbanded and rolled into surrounding segments

NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>MONTHLY FEATURES</u>	PAGE
Firm Transportation Service Contract Utilization	3
Design Capability Utilization	
Upper Peace River	4
Upper & Central Peace River	5
Peace River Design	6
Upstream James River	7
Eastern Alberta Mainline (James River to Princess)	8
Western Alberta Mainline (AB/BC & AB/Montana Borders)	9
Rimbey Nevis – Flow Within	10
South & Alderson – Flow Within	11
Medicine Hat - Flow Within	12
Eastern Alberta Mainline (Princess to Empress/McNeill)	13
Ft. McMurray Area – Flow Within.....	14
Kirby Area – Flow Within.....	15
North of Bens Lake – Flow Within.....	16
North & South of Bens Lake – Flow Within.....	17
Future Firm Transportation Service Availability.....	18
How to Use This Report	19
 <u>REFERENCES</u>	
NGTL Design Areas Map	20
NGTL Pipeline Segments Map	21
Definition of Terms	22

Utilization reports are posted approximately six weeks after the end of the reported month.

If you have any questions on the content of this report, contact Winston Cao at (403) 920-5315 or winston_cao@tcenergy.com.

FIRM TRANSPORTATION SERVICE¹ CONTRACT UTILIZATION³

By NGTL Pipeline Segments

May 2022

Segment	Contract	Utilization	Delivery	Utilization	Receipt
			May CD (TJ/d)		May CD (MMcf/d)
UPRM	FT	0%	0.0	100%	82
	FT + IT ²	0%		108%	
PRLL	FT	40%	29.3	70%	245
	FT + IT	45%		75%	
NWML	FT	0%	0.0	95%	157
	FT + IT	0%		97%	
GRDL	FT	0%	0.0	76%	5,067
	FT + IT	0%		77%	
WAEX	FT	51%	21.1	59%	1,168
	FT + IT	78%		60%	
JUDY	FT	49%	19.6	84%	27
	FT + IT	60%		111%	
GPML	FT	60%	221.2	77%	5,409
	FT + IT	97%		78%	
CENT	FT	21%	6.6	64%	2,411
	FT + IT	36%		64%	
LPOL	FT	56%	304.0	71%	1,017
	FT + IT	86%		79%	
WGAT	FT	72%	4,371.1	96%	217
	FT + IT	72%		117%	
ALEG	FT	47%	366.3	94%	447
	FT + IT	47%		128%	
SLAT	FT	22%	176.9	99%	88
	FT + IT	22%		123%	
MLAT	FT	93%	260.7	96%	74
	FT + IT	95%		110%	
BLEG	FT	23%	149.9	97%	355
	FT + IT	24%		109%	
EGAT	FT	99%	4,678.3	100%	4
	FT + IT	107%		268%	
MRTN	FT	48%	25.6	91%	42
	FT + IT	50%		130%	
LIEG	FT	65%	2,091.4	67%	15
	FT + IT	66%		118%	
KIRB	FT	80%	1,665.1	41%	7
	FT + IT	82%		179%	
REDL	FT	7%	17.9	73%	7
	FT + IT	7%		152%	
COLD	FT	72%	291.2	74%	4
	FT + IT	74%		236%	
EDM	FT	41%	1,851.0	97%	25
	FT + IT	42%		163%	
NLAT	FT	51%	159.0	99%	81
	FT + IT	58%		134%	
WAIN	FT	13%	0.3	95%	2
	FT + IT	93%		166%	
ELAT	FT	76%	317.5	95%	60
	FT + IT	76%		155%	
TOTAL SYSTEM	FT	74%	17,023.8	75%	17,011
	FT + IT	78%		79%	


*NOTE:

1. FT includes all receipt and delivery Firm Transportation Services.


2. IT includes receipt and delivery Interruptible Services.

3. Utilization data is based on billed monthly volumes. Percent utilization calculated as FT and FT + IT billed volumes divided by applicable receipt or delivery Contract level.

DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION UPPER PEACE RIVER




Throughput vs. Design Capability
Upper Peace River




% Design Capability Utilization						
Average Flow/	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
	23%	23%	24%	27%	28%	28%

DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION UPPER and CENTRAL PEACE RIVER



Throughput vs. Design Capability

Upper and Central Peace River




% Design Capability Utilization						
Average Flow/	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
	83%	79%	81%	82%	83%	81%


DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION

PEACE RIVER DESIGN

(Upper, Central and Lower Peace River)




Throughput vs. Design Capability




% Design Capability Utilization						
Average Flow/	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
	85%	84%	85%	85%	90%	85%

DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION UPSTREAM JAMES RIVER

(Edson Mainline, Peace River Design and Marten Hills)





Throughput vs. Design Capability
Upstream James River



% Design Capability Utilization						
Average Flow/	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
	91%	90%	92%	92%	98%	92%

DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION EASTERN ALBERTA MAINLINE (James River to Princess)




% Design Capability Utilization						
Average Flow/	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
	98%	105%	106%	104%	114%	101%

DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION


WESTERN ALBERTA MAINLINE

(Alberta/B.C. and Alberta/Montana Borders)



Throughput vs. Design Capability


Western Gate - Alberta / BC & Alberta / Montana Borders



% Design Capability Utilization						
Average Flow/	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
	96%	98%	97%	94%	91%	81%


DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION

RIMBEY-NEVIS – FLOW WITHIN



Total Deliveries vs. Design Capability


Rimbey Nevis - Delivery Capability



% Design Capability Utilization						
Average Flow/	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
	73%	77%	73%	76%	95%	83%

DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION



SOUTH and ALDERSON – FLOW WITHIN



% Design Capability Utilization						
Average Flow/	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
	79%	72%	67%	51%	82%	57%


DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION

MEDICINE HAT – FLOW WITHIN




% Design Capability Utilization						
Average Flow/	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
	77%	80%	80%	75%	77%	76%

DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION EASTERN ALBERTA MAINLINE (Princess to Empress / McNeill)




Throughput vs. Design Capability

Eastern Gate - Empress & McNeill Borders




% Design Capability Utilization						
Average Flow/	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
	97%	103%	108%	103%	109%	103%

DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION FT. McMURRAY AREA – FLOW WITHIN



Total Deliveries vs. Design Capability


Ft. McMurray Area - Delivery Capability



% Design Capability Utilization						
Average Flow/	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
	88%	93%	93%	90%	103%	90%


DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION

KIRBY AREA – FLOW WITHIN




Total Deliveries vs. Design Capability

Kirby Area - Delivery Capability




% Design Capability Utilization						
Average Flow/	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
	98%	100%	101%	99%	102%	93%

DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION NORTH OF BENS LAKE – FLOW WITHIN




Total Deliveries vs. Design Capability

North of Bens Lake - Delivery Capability




% Design Capability Utilization						
Average Flow/	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
	91%	91%	90%	86%	99%	87%

DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION NORTH and EAST – FLOW WITHIN



Total Deliveries vs. Design Capability

North and East - Delivery Capability



% Design Capability Utilization						
Average Flow/	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
	90%	90%	89%	84%	97%	85%

FUTURE FIRM TRANSPORTATION SERVICE AVAILABILITY

Please consult with your Marketing Representative to discuss your Firm Transportation Service needs.

Estimated Firm Transportation Service Availability

Please refer to the following web site for
current FT-R / FT-D Availability Maps:

[http://www.tccustomerexpress.com/2801.
html](http://www.tccustomerexpress.com/2801.html)

HOW TO USE THIS REPORT

Overview

This report contains recent historical information on the level of utilization of firm transportation Service Agreements on the NGTL system, relative usage of interruptible service, level of utilization of design pipeline capacity.

Data is reported either by ***Pipeline Segment*** (25 segments make up the system) or ***Design Area*** (13 Design Areas for the system). Maps of both are included in the reference section.

Firm Transportation Service Contract Utilization

The Firm Transportation Service Contract Utilization report shows the percent utilization for each of the 25 NGTL pipeline segments and 3 major export delivery points comprising the total system. The utilization data is based on billed monthly volumes. Percent utilization is calculated as firm transportation service and firm transportation service + interruptible service divided by applicable receipt or delivery contract level. Historical Data involving billed volumes lags the current date by approximately two months.

Design Capability Utilization

The load factor/segment flow graphs show actual flow versus design capability values for various NGTL system areas. The graphs also show seasonal (winter/summer) design capability and average load factors (LF) for each season. Load factors are obtained by comparing the receipt, delivery, or throughput flow condition in each of the Alberta design areas against the corresponding design capability. Consequently, design capability utilization is measured as Average Actual Flow / Seasonal Design Capability. Data used in these reports lags the current date by at least one month.


Design Flow Capability utilization is a function of several factors that include:

- Total market demand for Alberta natural gas.
- Seasonal changes in market demand for Alberta natural gas.
- Receipt nominating practices of customers individually and in aggregate to meet that level of demand.
- Scheduled maintenance which could effect actual flow requirement in a design area at any given time.
- Design assumptions used in determining required segment flow requirement.


Future Firm Transportation Service Availability

The Future Firm Transportation Service Availability report presents guidelines and timing for all future firm transportation service requests.

NGTL Design Areas



(Last updated Oct 2019)



DEFINITION OF TERMS

Design Capability Utilization

Actual Flow

The amount of gas flowing within or out of the design area.

Design Capability

The volume of gas that can be transported from the design area on the pipeline system considering given design assumptions.

AVGLF (Average Load Factor)

The ratio between average *Actual Flow* and *Design Capability*. It is calculated for every design season (summer/winter) as shown on the graphs.

Intra NGTL System Deliveries

The amount of sales gas flowing off the system within an area.

Receipt Flow

Aggregate of actual receipts within an area and the *Actual Flow* of the upstream area.

Other

System Load Factor

The volume weighted average of the *Average Load Factor (AVGLF)* of all design areas on the system
